

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,508	07/29/2003	Jordan Plofsky	ALTRP082	6910
51501 BEYER WEAV	7590 10/22/2007 /ER LLP			INER
ATTN: ALTER	RA		IQBAL, N	IADEEM
P.O. BOX 7025 OAKLAND, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2114	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			· 10/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			<i>\\\\\\\</i>
<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/629,508	PLOFSKY, JORDAN
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Nadeem Iqbal	2114
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	<u>ugust 2007</u> .	
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.	
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	l53 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.		
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed. Nalgh
Attachmen	t(s)	r	NADEEM IQBAL
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	PRIMARY EXAMINER y (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal	Date
· —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/629,508

Art Unit: 2114

## Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to an amendment filed on August 14, 2007. The Examiner applies the same rejection as applied in the detailed office action mailed on April 11, 2007 as the last office action. The Applicant should refer to the last office action for details.

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed Aug. 14, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The drawings filed on Nov 17, 2003 are the only drawings found by the Examiner and are acceptable. No other formal drawings are found in record. Applicant must indicate what date is other set of formal drawings is filed.
- 2. With reference to Claims Applicant argues that claim 1 specifically requires a programmable logic device that comprises a hardcoded microprocessor in communication with programmable logic. Examiner contends that Jacobson clearly shows in Fig. 2, a Diagnostic microcontroller within the same packaging as the programmable logic device and is called an electronic device. Jacobson further teaches (col. 3, lines 35-45) the diagnostic microcontroller 105 then initiates diagnostic tests on the programmable logic device 110. He also teaches (col. 3, lines 60-62) that the electronic device is a self-contained product. Therefore placing the microcontroller within the same packaging with the programmable logic device in the form of an integrated circuit is within the level of skill of a person of ordinary skill in the art if so desired. The technology today allows multiple processors and programmable logic devices within the same packaging as is well known in the art. Examiner applies the same response to the Applicants arguments pertains to claims 7, 13, & 20. Examiner has reviewed Jacobson's, col. 15, lines 41-47, as pointed out by the Applicant, Jacobson does not teach any desirability to

Application/Control Number: 10/629,508

Art Unit: 2114

locate the microcontroller outside of the electronic device as allege by the Applicant. In col. 15, lines 49-51, Jacobson teaches reconfiguring a programmable logic device within the electronic device to act as diagnostic microcontroller, thereby reasserting the desirability to include the microcontroller within the same package as the programmable logic device.

## Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nadeem Iqbal whose telephone number is (571)-272-3659. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571)-272-3644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2114

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nadeem Idbal Primary Examiner Art Unit 2114

NI